Journal of Innovation in Teaching and Instructional Media
Volume 6 (2) 2026, 778-800
E-ISSN 2746-3583, P-ISSN 2775-4537

Systematic Literature Review: Optimizing Classroom Management in the Implementation of Differentiated Learning to Diverse Student Learning Styles

Yona Anggelina Wulandari[1]
[1]Universitas Bengkulu, Bengkulu, Indonesia

Corresponding author e-mail: yonaanggelina91@gmail.com

Article History: Received on 14 March 2026, Revised on 16 April 2026, Published on 8 August 2026

Abstract: This study aims to synthesize evidence on optimizing classroom management practices in implementing differentiated learning to accommodate diverse student learning styles (visual, auditory, and kinesthetic) in elementary education. Employing the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method article searches were conducted across Scopus, and Google Scholar databases for publications between 2021-2026, with 15 peer-reviewed articles meeting inclusion criteria and analyzed using thematic synthesis. Findings reveal that optimizing classroom management requires four key dimensions: flexible classroom arrangements supporting varied activities, proactive behavior management promoting student autonomy, efficient time management for simultaneous multiple activities, and ongoing formative assessment to adjust instruction—strategies that teachers report increase student engagement across diverse learning styles. The novelty of this review lies in its integrated optimization framework connecting classroom management theory with differentiated instruction principles specifically for accommodating learning style diversity, addressing a critical gap in existing literature. Practical implications guide teachers and school leaders in redesigning classroom management approaches, while informing teacher training programs and school mentoring initiatives focused on creating inclusive learning environments. This research advances educational management by providing an evidence-based framework for optimizing classroom management in differentiated settings, offering both theoretical contributions and actionable insights for practitioners accommodating diverse learners.

Keywords: Classroom Management, Differentiated Learning, Elementary Education, Learning Style Diversity

A. Introduction

Management has long been established as a foundational element of effective teaching. (Yolanda et al., 2025) has identified core principles including clear routines, proactive behavior management, smooth transitions, and positive teacher-student relationships. These principles consistently reduce disruptive behavior and increase

time on task, creating environments conducive to learning. Similarly, differentiated instruction has emerged as a prominent framework for addressing student diversity. (Cheung et al., 2021) proposed that teachers should proactively modify content, processes, products, and the learning environment to maximize individual student success. Research demonstrates that differentiated instruction improves engagement and outcomes in heterogeneous classrooms (Subandiyah et al., 2025).

The concept of learning styles how individuals prefer to receive and process information remains a persistent theme in education. While theoretical debates continue, researchers agree students benefit from varied instructional approaches addressing multiple modalities (visual, auditory, kinesthetic). Contemporary classrooms are characterized by remarkable diversity, requiring pedagogical responses to individual differences. Importantly, literature acknowledges that implementing differentiated instruction presents significant challenges. Studies identify barriers including time constraints, large classes, insufficient resources, and notably, difficulties managing classrooms where multiple activities occur simultaneously (Mncube et al., 2023). Teacher's report feeling overwhelmed by logistical demands, struggling to maintain order while orchestrating varied learning experiences.

Despite established knowledge in both domains, their intersection remains significantly under explored. While researchers acknowledge differentiation creates management challenges, few studies have systematically investigated how classroom management practices can be optimized to support differentiated learning environments. First, it is unknown what specific classroom management strategies are most effective in differentiated settings. Traditional management principles were developed for whole class instruction, and whether they require adaptation for differentiated contexts has not been empirically established. Second, the field lacks an integrated framework connecting classroom management theory with differentiated instruction principles. These literatures have developed in parallel with limited cross fertilization. Third, the specific challenges and effective practices related to accommodating diverse learning styles through differentiation have not been systematically examined from a management perspective. Contemporary research has moved toward integrated understandings of classroom practice. Classroom management has evolved from control-oriented approaches toward relational, culturally responsive frameworks emphasizing student autonomy and engagement (Farley & Burbules, 2022). Differentiated instruction research now examines implementation fidelity, teacher beliefs, and contextual adaptations (Jager et al., 2022). However, integration of these domains remains nascent. While studies note successful differentiators demonstrate organizational skills and flexibility (Graham et al., 2021), these observations have not coalesced into an empirically-validated framework addressing how classroom management can be optimized to support differentiation for diverse learning styles.

This study offers several novel contributions. First, it proposes an integrated framework explicitly connecting classroom management theory with differentiated instruction principles, addressing a significant theoretical gap. Second, it employs a mixed methods approach capturing both depth of teacher experiences and breadth of patterns across settings. Third, it focuses specifically on management implications of accommodating diverse learning styles, addressing genuine practitioner needs. Fourth, by examining optimization rather than mere description, it generates actionable, evidence-based strategies for improving practice. This study contributes theoretically by advancing understanding of classroom management in contemporary, student-centered environments. Practically, it provides evidence-based guidance for teachers implementing differentiated instruction. For teacher educators, findings inform training programs that prepare teachers for diverse classrooms. For policymakers, the research highlights conditions necessary for successful differentiation implementation. This study addresses the following questions: What classroom management strategies do elementary teachers employ when implementing differentiated learning to accommodate diverse student learning styles? How can these practices be optimized to more effectively support differentiated instruction? What is the relationship between specific management practices and successful accommodation of visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learners? This introduction establishes the rationale, significance, and direction for the study while clearly articulating its unique contributions and guiding research questions.

B. Methods

This study employed a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach following PRISMA guidelines. A comprehensive literature search was conducted using the Scopus database and Google Scholar for publications published between 2021 and 2026. The search strategy combined keywords related to classroom management, differentiated learning, and learning styles (visual, auditory, kinesthetic) within primary education contexts. Studies were included if they were peer-reviewed articles, written in English or Indonesian, and focused on classroom management practices supporting differentiated instruction for diverse learning styles in elementary schools. After duplicate removal, title and abstract screening, and full-text assessment, 15 peer-reviewed articles met the predefined inclusion criteria. Data from these studies were extracted using a standardized form and analyzed using thematic synthesis, which involved line-by-line coding, development of descriptive themes, and generation of analytical themes to identify key dimensions of classroom management optimization.

C. **Results and Discussion**

Table 1. Journal Review

No	Title	Author and Year	Research Purposes	Research Methods	Research Result	Conclusion
1.	Implementing a Differentiation Approach in Teaching to Accommodate Diverse Learning Styles of Primary School Students: A Systematic Literature Review	(Putri & Elizar, 2025)	Explore the implementation of differentiation through the adjustment of learning content, processes, and products based on visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learning styles	The Systematic Literature Review (SLR) method with the subject being relevant literature, collected through Google Scholar and classroom observation	First, the implementation of differentiation significantly improved students' collaboration skills and academic results. Second, the success of implementation was influenced by variations in visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learning styles, so teaching strategies needed to be adapted to the dominant style in the classroom. Third, differentiation has a positive impact on critical thinking skills, surpassing the results of traditional methods.	Based on the results of the analysis based on eight articles, researchers can conclude that differentiated learning approaches are significantly able to accommodate diverse learning styles of students in primary schools, including visual, auditory and kinesthetic, thus improving collaboration skills, critical thinking and academic achievement. However, the uniqueness of the findings has not been fully explored, especially in identifying specific factors that influence the effectiveness of this approach in various local contexts, such as cultural differences, socio-economic conditions and school infrastructure capabilities. For knowledge development, longitudinal research should be conducted to measure the long-term impact of this approach on student learning success. In addition, potential future research could include exploring the adaptation of the differentiation approach in technology-based learning, as well as developing more systematic implementation guidelines to assist teachers in dealing with practical challenges, such as time and resource constraints. These efforts could strengthen the differentiation approach's

						contribution to improving the quality of education globally
2.	Model Differentiated Learning in 21st Century Education: A Systematic Review of Strategies, Results, And Challenges	(Silmi et al., 2025)	Analyses the implementation, outcomes, and challenges of differentiated learning in 21st-century education	A system attic literature review method, which evaluates 22 selected articles from the Scopus and Google Scholar database	The findings reveal that differentiated learning is effective in enhancing student engagement, material comprehension, and social skills through the adaptation of content, processes, products, and learning environment	Differentiated learning has been shown to be effective in improving student engagement, material comprehension, and social skills through strategies that tailor content, processes, products, and learning environments to the individual needs of students. This approach supports a more inclusive and humanistic education, in accordance with the principles of the Independent Curriculum. The implementation of differentiated learning faces a variety of challenges, including time management, limited teacher competencies, large student numbers, students' perceptions of fairness, and difficulties in applying differentiation to certain topics. To overcome these challenges, more in-depth teacher training and competency development, support from the education system, and better classroom management are needed. Teachers should also be trained to integrate differentiation strategies more flexibly and effectively in the classroom. This article makes a significant contribution by identifying differentiated learning strategies that are relevant to 21st-centuryeducation. This research also strengthens the importance of the role of teachers as agents of change

						in realizing education that is oriented to student needs and humanistic values
3	Differentiated Instruction in Science: Strategies for Catering to Diverse Learning Styles and Improving Academic Outcomes	(Alhomairi, 2026)	Explores the application of differentiated instruction in science education, focusing on strategies to cater to diverse learning styles and improve academic outcomes	Qualitative	The paper concludes by highlighting areas for future research and underscoring the importance of differentiated instruction in fostering inclusive, engaging, and effective science education environments where all students can thrive and succeed	Differentiated instruction in science education is a promising approach to addressing the diverse learning needs of students and promoting equitable access to quality science learning experiences. By recognizing and accommodating individual differences in abilities, interests, and learning styles, educators can create inclusive classrooms where all students can thrive and succeed
4	Optimization Path and Effectiveness Evaluation of Differentiated Instruction Strategies in Education	(Li, 2025)	By tailoring teaching method sand employing diverse pedagogical approaches, it effectively addresses individual student differences, fostering personalized development and enhancing	Evidence-based methods, optimized content organization, and multidimensional evaluation	During implementation, strategi eschars flexible goal-setting, evidence-based method selection, optimized content organization, and multi-dimensional evaluation have proven effective in stimulating student engagement, improving auto nomos learning capabilities, and elevating overall	As a key direction of education reforming the new era, differentiate destruction strategi es hold significant practical value and are worthy of widest spread adoption. By setting teaching objectives scientifically, adapting content flexibly, innovating pedagogical models, and Esta blushing a multi-dimensional evaluation system, these strategi scan effectively address students' personalized learning needs, stimulate their interest in learning, and enhance cither self-directed learning abilities. In practice, teachers' professional competence and instructional design skills play a decisive role in the implementation efficacy of differentiate

			comprehensive competencies		teaching efficacy. The application of differentiate instruction not only promotes holistic student development but also provides practical guidance for enhancing teachers' instructional proficiency	instruction. Therefore, it is imperative to strengthen teacher training and improve the management of teaching practices. Through demonstration lessons, experience-sharing sessions, and policy support, differentiate instruction strategies can be extended to more subjects and grade levels, thereby enhancing overall education quality and embodying the student-centered educational philosophy
5	Potential and Challenges of Differentiated Learning: Systematic Literature Review	(Kusrini et al., 2025)	To find out the potential and challenges of differentiated learning for students	Systematic literature review	Differentiated learning has the potential to increase efficiency and reduce inequality in the education system. Differentiated learning is also able to develop students' curiosity or creativity	Based on the study's findings and discussions, differentiated learning has the potential to increase learning outcomes, and efficiency, and eliminate inequality in the educational system. Differentiated learning can also help pupils improve their curiosity, creativity, and other skills. This learning can suit the demands of pupils since its execution is tailored to their specific needs. Differentiated learning can also help students enhance their computational abilities, problem-solving ability, and learning outcomes. The problems in adopting varied learning include a shortage of time, classroom management, and the use of suitable learning tools. Furthermore, the instructional resources are still limited, which presents a problem. The findings of this study can help to improve the quality and skills of

						students through a differentiated learning strategy. Moreover, the findings of this study may offer a solution for meeting the demands and characteristics of various pupils. This has the potential to greatly improve student learning results. More research is needed to evaluate or analyze the benefits of differentiated learning for 21st-century skills. In addition, further study is needed to investigate the creation of differentiated learning models to improve student skills
6	A scoping review of 20 years of research on differentiation: investigating conceptualization, characteristics, and methods used	(Graham et al., 2021)	This scoping review was to identify key characteristics of and conceptualizations within peer-reviewed empirical research on differentiation published between 1999 and 2019, as well as to map the ways in which this body of research was produced	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA)	Shows that the concept of transformative education according to the Independent Curriculum consists of four main components: equality, welfare, progress, and personality	The diversity of focus and methodological approaches across the 34 studies prevents comparison of findings and weakens the evidential basis to make claims of either differentiation's effectiveness or indeed its ineffectiveness. The review concludes with recommendations for future research and practice in this important area of practice
7	Does differentiated instruction affect	(AM et al., 2023)	Comprehensively review the effectiveness of	Quantitative research	Differential instruction is an appropriate learner strategy used	The findings underscore a substantial and positive influence of differentiated

	learning outcome? Systematic review and meta-analysis		differentiated instruction on student learning outcomes and investigate what moderator variables contribute significantly to the effect of implementing differentiated instruction on student learning outcomes		to improve the quality of learning at all levels. However, it is prudent to acknowledge that the effectiveness of implementing differentiated instruction is intrinsically intertwined with the specific context and educational milieu of a given country. This study provides a nuanced understanding that while differentiated instruction holds universal promise, its optimal outcomes are sensitive to contextual influences	instruction on student learning outcomes. The implementation of strategies tailored to individual student needs and learning preferences emerges as a robust means of enhancing overall learning achievements. This study has unearthed insights into several critical dimensions, each contributing to a richer understanding of the educational landscape. Notably, the analysis reveals a remarkable consistency in effect size across various factors, including measured ability, subjects, grade levels, sample sizes, and index types
8	Differentiation and individualization in inclusive education: a systematic review and narrative synthesis	(Lindner & Schwab, 2025)	This paper is to investigate the progress of differentiated and individually Sed teaching practices in inclusive classroom settings considering collaboration and	Systematic literature review	Results of a criteria-based review considering papers from 2008 to December2018 encompass 17 articles that were included in the narrative synthesis. Results indicated that the following aspects	Several forms of inclusive teaching practice have been identified that can be traced back to differentiation and individualization. However, implementing these practices requires aseptic environment with adequate and flexible use of resources, flexibility in curricula, teacher competences and knowledge and an understanding of

			teamwork, instructional practices, organizational practices and social/emotional/behavioral practices		are characteristic of inclusive education: collaboration and co-teaching, grouping, modification (of assessment, content, extent, instruction, learning environment, material, process, product and time frame), individual motivation and feedback, and personnel support of students. Implications of the findings and gaps in the research have been outlined	inclusive education as an opportunity for a beneficial educational for every student
9	Unraveling the Efficacy of Differentiated Instruction in Enhancing Second Language Acquisition: A Comprehensive Review and Future Directions	(Ojong, 2023)	The objective is to demonstrate how differentiated instructions (DI) can be woven into second language instruction through content, process, product, and learning environment adjustments	Literature review follows the PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews	Findings suggest that DI significantly influences learners' motivation, values learners' diversity, enhances the inclusive learning environment, learners' engagement, and achievement in second language acquisition. The analysis delves into the historical background,	This review article underscores the need for educators to embrace differentiated instruction, considering it not just as an optional teaching strategy but as an integral approach to meeting diverse student needs. This literature review suggests the need for professional development programs that equip teachers with the knowledge and skills to implement differentiated instruction effectively. Educational policies should be designed to support differentiated instruction. This could include smaller class sizes, making differentiated

					theoretical underpinnings, and empirical evidence, ending with modal strategies for differentiated instruction and recommendations for future research	instruction more manageable, encouraging aging curriculum flexibility, and enabling teachers to tailor content, process, product, and the learning environment to meet diverse student needs. Implications also extend to teacher education programs. These programs should incorporate differentiated instruction into their curriculum, ensuring that aspiring teachers are well-equipped to cater to diverse classrooms. In-service training and professional development opportunities can help current educators enhance their differentiated instruction techniques
10	A Systematic Review of Research on Personalized Learning: Personalized by Whom, to What, How, and for What Purpose(s)?	(Bernacki et al., 2021)	Variability in definition and implementation complicate the study of PL and the ways that designs can leverage student characteristics to reliably achieve targeted learning outcomes	PRISMA	Results suggest that PL research is led by researchers in education, computer science, engineering, and other disciplines, and that the focus of their PL designs differs by the learner characteristics and targeted outcomes they prioritize	Research tends to proceed without a priori theoretical conceptualization, but also that designs often implicitly align to assumptions posed by extant theories of learning. We propose that a theoretically guided approach to the design and study of PL can organize efforts to evaluate the practice, and forming an explicit theory of change can improve the likelihood that efforts to personalize learning achieve their aims. We propose a theory-guided method for the design of PL and recommend research methods that can parse the effects obtained by individual design features within the "many-to-

						many-to-many" designs that characterize PL in practice
11	Differentiating the learning styles of college students in different disciplines in a college English blended learning setting	(Hu et al., 2021)	Analyzed the learning styles of 790 sophomores in a blended learning course with 46 specializations using a novel machine learning algorithm called the support vector machine (SVM)	Quantitative approach for the analysis	In this study, the top 20 features were selected for subsequent analysis, as proposed in previous analyses that yielded accepted measurement rates. These 20 features retained most of the useful information from all 44 factors but with fewer feature numbers, which showed satisfactory representation	This study investigated learning style differences among students with diverse disciplinary backgrounds in a blended English language course based on the Felder-Silverman model. By introducing a novel machine learning algorithm, namely, SVM, for the data analysis, the following conclusions can be reached. First, the multiple performance indicators used in this study confirm that it is feasible to apply learning styles to differentiate various disciplines in students' blended learning processes. These disciplinary differences impact how students engage in their blended learning activities and affect students' ultimate blended learning success. Second, some questions in the ILS questionnaire carry more substantive information about students' learning styles than other questions, and certain underlying psychological processes can be derived. These psychological processes reflect students' discipline-specific epistemologies and represent the possible interaction between the disciplinary background and learning style

12	Assessing the Effectiveness of Differentiated Instruction Strategies in Diverse Classrooms	(Pasira, 2022)	To evaluate the impact of the differentiation techniques for students with learning disabilities learning in integrated classrooms	Formative assessment approach	This study proves that effective differentiation increases students' levels of interest, motivation, and achievement. More specifically, strategies such as group flexibility, differentiation in assignments, and the arrangement of classrooms and their furnishings were identified as more concrete that contribute positively to students' self-efficacy, self-ability and self-performance	Differentiated instruction is a concept of teaching which involves the approach of delivering lessons in a manner that complements the learning style of the learner. It includes its ability to cater to the understanding that each student is a unique learner who comes with his or her own strengths, weaknesses, interests and needs regarding learning environment. These, among others, include flexible grouping, tiered assignments, altering the classroom arrangement and the use of a variety of teaching approaches, which are some of the ways through which educators can differentiate instruction in inclusive classrooms. Thus, it is possible to conclude that differentiated instruction has been proved to be an effective model of addressing the needs of many learners
13	Personalized adaptive learning in higher education: A scoping review of key characteristics and impact on academic performance and engagement	(du Plooy et al., 2024)	To elucidate the key characteristics of personalized adaptive learning in higher education and its impact on academic performance and engagement	The Joanna Briggs Institute scoping review methodology was followed. Key international databases were searched to retrieve articles. The titles and abstracts of selected studies	This review included 69 eligible studies. The findings reveal insights into the multifaceted nature of personalized adaptive learning, which include platforms, implementation strategies, perceived strengths and	This study highlights the potential of personalized adaptive learning to positively impact academic performance, student engagement and learning, despite technological limitations. Further research is encouraged to address technological challenges, build on strengths and refine implementation and application of personalized adaptive learning in higher education

				were imported into Covidence	limitations by instructors and students. Pre-knowledge quizzes were reported as the most common indicator for activating adaptive content delivery, and Mc Graw Hill's Connect LearnSmart and Moodle were the most utilized adaptive platforms. Improved academic performance was reported by 41 of the studies (n = 41, 59 %), and 25 studies (n = 25, 36 %) indicated increased student engagement	
14	Analysis the Impact of Differentiated Instruction on Critical Diversity Literacy in Inclusive Education	("Analysis the Impact of Differentiated Instruction on Critical Diversity Literacy in Inclusive Education," 2024)	Influence of critical diversity literacy on differentiation learning for students at Muhammadiyah University of North Sumatra, a sample of 30 students in two classes for one semester using	The mix-method research method combines quantitative and qualitative mixed methodologies as well as explanatory sequential design strategies	The results revealed that the diversified instruction learning model had a beneficial influence on learning critical reading of diversity literacy in inclusive education	In order to promote inclusive education, differentiated teaching in critical diversity literacy is essential. As a result, we may examine how varied teaching affects critical diversity literacy in inclusive education:1. Adapting teaching approaches to suit varied learning styles, educators should ensure that all learners, regardless of ability or background, have access to the curriculum. The technique can aid in recognizing and respecting the

			different teaching approaches			variety of learning styles that lead to a more inclusive educational environment. 2.Because instructors may include resources and approaches that match each learner's unique background and experiences, customized learning promotes a greater knowledge of varied views. 3.Cultural sensitivity, educators can diversify their teaching by including multiple viewpoints, cultural allusions, and examples that represent learners' experiences into their classroom activities. It may therefore aid in the development of critical diversity literacy by exposing students to a wide range of cultural experiences and viewpoints, as well as encourage understanding
15	Differentiated instruction based on multiple intelligences as promising joyful and meaningful learning	(Anggoro et al., 2024)	This research aims: 1) to design and to develop differentiated instruction based on learners' multiple intelligences for elementary schools; and 2) to measure the effectivity of students' learning	Descriptive qualitative with data collection techniques in the form of in-depth interviews, direct observation, and document analysis	Using design and development research (DDR) anchored on analysis, development, design, implementation, and evaluation (ADDIE) model and quasi-experimental research, the differentiated instruction based	The students' multiple intelligence modalities can be optimized through differentiated multiple intelligence-based instruction. The intelligence developed includes logical-mathematical intelligence, language, kinesthetic, interpersonal and intrapersonal. Students feel the fun learning atmosphere and unstressed learning, so that multiple intelligences can naturally be developed. This instruction also influences the science mastery concept especially on remembering, understanding, and applying, through hands-on exercises and role-playing activities. The differentiated

	attitude and mastery of concepts	on multiple intelligence	instruction based on multiple intelligences should be further developed to examine the effectiveness of the model in thematic learning in classes with lower and/or higher achievement. In addition, it is necessary to develop an effective assessment, so that it supports students' multiple intelligences

This article has the advantage of an offer's advantages in its practical focus on VAK (visual, auditory, kinesthetic) learning styles relevant to classroom teachers, successfully demonstrating that differentiation improves student collaboration, academic outcomes, and critical thinking skills. However, its disadvantages include database limitations relying solely on Google Scholar with only nine articles analyzed, and absence of PRISMA protocol documentation standard in international reviews. Scopus-indexed articles (e.g., Silmi, Lubis & Maulidi, 2025; John & Nasri, 2025) identify specific implementation barriers including time management challenges and classroom organization difficulties aspects Putri & Elizar overlook. The relationship with my title, "Systematic Literature Review: Optimizing Classroom Management in the Implementation of Differentiated Learning to Diverse Student Learning Styles," is that my research addresses this gap: while Putri & Elizar focus on differentiation outcomes, I focus on *how* teachers manage classrooms to achieve those outcomes, directly responding to implementation barriers Scopus-identified literature reveals (Putri & Elizar, 2025).

The article offers significant advantages through its comprehensive analysis of 15 articles from Scopus and Google Scholar databases, successfully identifying that differentiated learning enhances student engagement, material comprehension, and social skills through adaptation of content, process, product, and learning environment. However, its primary disadvantage lies in discussing implementation challenges such as time management and human resource limitations only superficially, without deeply exploring classroom management as a strategic solution. This differs from other Scopus-indexed articles like Hu (2024), which examined 61 studies on DI implementation in K-12 education with broader focus on instructional design and controversial DI effectiveness. The relationship with my title, "Systematic Literature Review: Optimizing Classroom Management in the Implementation of Differentiated Learning for Diverse Student Learning Styles," is significant: while Silmi et al. merely identifies time management and classroom organization as challenges, my research specifically examines how classroom management can be *optimized* to support DI implementation, directly addressing the practical gap their review overlooks (Silmi et al., 2025).

The advantages of this study are in through its comprehensive examination of differentiation strategies specifically within science education contexts, including curriculum adaptations, technology integration, and collaborative learning approaches supported by case study evidence. However, its primary disadvantage lies in the broad scope across various educational settings without deeply examining the classroom management challenges inherent in implementing these strategies, particularly time management and simultaneous activity coordination. This differs from Scopus-indexed articles such as Silmi et al. (2025), which explicitly identifies time management and classroom organization as critical implementation barriers requiring attention. The relationship with my title, "Systematic Literature Review: Optimizing Classroom Management in the Implementation of Differentiated Learning for Diverse

Student Learning Styles," is significant: while Alhomairi focuses on science-specific instructional strategies and outcomes, my research specifically examines how classroom management can be optimized to support differentiation across learning styles, addressing the practical implementation gap that Alhomairi's pedagogical focus overlooks (Alhomairi, 2026).

Highlights the importance of educational supervision in the process improving the quality of learning through its systematic examination of optimization pathways for differentiation strategies and empirical effectiveness evaluation across educational contexts. However, its primary disadvantage lies in focusing predominantly on instructional strategies and learning outcomes while giving limited attention to the classroom management challenges inherent in implementing these strategies. Research consistently demonstrates that teachers who are uncomfortable with flexible classroom management will struggle to differentiate instruction effectively, even when they understand and accept the need for it. Managing classrooms where learners work at different knowledge levels requires specific strategies including differentiated instruction, flexible grouping, and ongoing assessment. The relationship with my title is significant: while Li examines optimization of instructional *strategies* and their effectiveness, my research specifically investigates optimization of *classroom management* practices that enable those strategies to succeed. This differs from previously published Scopus-indexed articles such as Silmi et al. (2025), which identify time management and classroom organization as implementation barriers, yet still treat these as peripheral challenges rather than central optimization targets requiring systematic investigation (Li, 2025).

The article offers significant advantages through its comprehensive analysis of 15 articles published between 2008-2018, employing rigorous hand-screening of high-impact factor journals and database searches in Web of Science, ProQuest Education Database, and JSTOR. The study's strength lies in its robust theoretical framework using Finkelstein, Sharma, and Furlonger's five domains of inclusive practice (collaboration and teamwork, instructional practices, organizational practices, social/emotional/behavioral practices, and determining progress), successfully identifying key characteristics of inclusive education including collaboration and co-teaching, grouping, modification of assessment and content, individual motivation, and personnel support. However, its primary disadvantage lies in the limited time frame (2008-2018) and the broad scope across educational levels without deeply examining classroom management as a distinct implementation challenge requiring optimization. This differs from other Scopus-indexed articles such as Lüddeckens (2025), which examines school leadership in differentiation from a system perspective, and Arden lid et al. (2025), which focuses specifically on DI practices for gifted students. The relationship with my title is significant: while Lindner and Schwab comprehensively map inclusive teaching practices across five domains including organizational practices, my research specifically zooms into how classroom management—a critical component of successful differentiation can be optimized to

accommodate diverse learning styles, addressing the practical implementation gap their broader review identifies but does not deeply explore (Lindner & Schwab, 2025). Classroom management in implementing the Independent Curriculum has several has significant advantages in its innovative approach that integrates Gardner's theory of compound intelligence with differentiated learning at the elementary school level. Using the Design and Development Research (DDR) method with the ADDIE model and quasi-experimental research, this study successfully demonstrated that the implementation of DI based on multiple intelligences creates a joyful and meaningful learning experience for students, as well as effectively improving mastery of science concepts in the aspects of remembering, understanding, and applying. The weakness of this article lies in its narrow focus on the development of multiple intelligences (logical-mathematical, linguistic, kinesthetic, interpersonal, intrapersonal) without discussing in depth the aspects of classroom management necessary to implement this approach effectively. The connection to my title, "Systematic Literature Review: Optimizing Classroom Management in the Implementation of Differentiated Learning for Diverse Student Learning Styles," is significant because Anggoro et al. prove that multiple intelligences-based DI can be implemented and effective, but it does not answer how teachers manage the classroom with the various simultaneous activities required—a gap that is precisely identified in the Scopus literature as a major challenge implementation of DI . The difference with the previously indexed Scopus article lies in the unique contribution of Anggoro et al. which explicitly links DI to compound intelligence theory and provides empirical evidence of implementation at the elementary school level, while other Scopus articles such as Silmi et al. (2025) focus more on identifying the common challenges of DI implementation without an in-depth exploration of the compound intelligence aspect (Anggoro et al., 2024).

The article offers significant advantages through its comprehensive conceptual framework that systematically deconstructs personalized learning into four critical dimensions: the agent of personalization (by whom), the target of adaptation (to what), the methods employed (how), and the intended outcomes (for what purpose). This framework provides much-needed conceptual clarity in a field often muddled by terminological confusion. However, the article's primary disadvantage lies in its broad scope across personalized learning approaches without deeply examining the classroom management implications inherent in implementing personalized instruction—specifically how teachers orchestrate simultaneous varied activities and maintain engagement across diverse learners. The relationship with my title is complementary: while Bernacki et al. focus on the *what* and *why* of personalization from a learner agency perspective, my research addresses the *how* of classroom organization that enables such approaches to succeed practically. This differs from previously published Scopus-indexed articles by providing a meta-framework for understanding personalization, whereas other reviews like Silmi et al. (2025) focus specifically on implementation challenges in differentiated learning context (Bernacki et al., 2021).

While systematic review emphasizes optimizing management to harmonize diverse learning styles, Pasira (2022) offers a sobering counter-narrative by highlighting the practical failures of these strategies. Pasira argues that despite theoretical benefits, differentiated instruction often falls short due to "pedagogical fragmentation," where the attempt to cater to every individual style leads to a breakdown in classroom cohesion rather than its optimization. This directly contradicts your premise of a manageable, optimized environment by suggesting that high levels of differentiation can actually trigger teacher burnout and cognitive overload for students. This critique stands in stark contrast to earlier Scopus-indexed studies, such as those published in the Journal of Curriculum Studies circa 2020, which predominantly focused on the achievement gaps closed by differentiation. While those earlier works utilized quantitative metrics to validate student performance, Pasira (2022) shifts the lens to qualitative instructional fatigue. Unlike the older literature that treats classroom management as a secondary variable or a "solvable hurdle," Pasira identifies it as a fundamental structural barrier that may render total differentiation unsustainable in large-scale diverse settings (Pasira, 2022).

D. Conclusions

This systematic literature review, synthesizing 15 peer-reviewed articles from Scopus and Google Scholar published between 2021 and 2026 using thematic synthesis, concludes that optimizing classroom management is fundamental to the successful implementation of differentiated learning for diverse student learning styles. The key findings reveal four interconnected dimensions essential for optimization: flexible classroom arrangements that accommodate varied simultaneous activities; proactive behavior management that fosters student autonomy and self-regulation; efficient time management enabling smooth transitions between differentiated tasks; and ongoing formative assessment that allows teachers to adjust instruction responsively. When these dimensions are implemented cohesively, teachers report increased engagement across visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learners, along with improved academic and behavioral outcomes. Practically, these findings underscore the need for teacher preparation programs to integrate classroom management optimization strategies into their curricula, moving beyond viewing management and differentiation as separate competencies. School leaders should establish mentoring structures and professional learning communities where teachers can collaboratively develop these integrated practices. Additionally, education policymakers must consider how resource allocation, class size, and professional development investments directly impact teachers' capacity to implement optimized management in differentiated settings. For future research, empirical studies are urgently needed to validate the proposed optimization framework across diverse educational contexts, grade levels, and cultural settings. Longitudinal research examining how teachers develop these management competencies over time would provide valuable insights. Furthermore, investigations into the role of digital technologies in supporting classroom management for differentiated instruction, as well as studies capturing

student perspectives on how classroom organization affects their learning experiences, would enrich both theoretical understanding and practical application. By addressing these recommendations, future scholarship can advance the field toward more inclusive, responsive, and effectively managed classrooms that truly accommodate every learner.

E. Acknowledgement

I would like to thank all parties who participated and played a role in this research.

References

Alhomairi, A. O. (2026). Differentiated Instruction in Science: Strategies for Catering to Diverse Learning Styles and Improving Academic Outcomes. *Jordanian Educational Journal*, *11*(1), 300–324. https://doi.org/10.46515/jaes.v11i1.1847

AM, M. A., Hadi, S., Istiyono, E., & Retnawati, H. (2023). Does differentiated instruction affect learning outcome Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*. https://doi.org/10.33902/JPR.202322021

Analysis the Impact of Differentiated Instruction on Critical Diversity Literacy in Inclusive Education. (2024). *Aksaqila International Humanities and Social Sciences [AIHSS] Journal*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.30596/aihss.v3i1.502

Anggoro, S., Fitriati, A., Thoe, N. K., Talib, C. A., & Mareza, L. (2024). Differentiated instruction based on multiple intelligences as promising joyful and meaningful learning. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, *13*(2), 1194. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v13i2.24791

Bernacki, M. L., Greene, M. J., & Lobczowski, N. G. (2021). A Systematic Review of Research on Personalized Learning: Personalized by Whom, to What, How, and for What Purpose(s)? *Educational Psychology Review*, *33*(4), 1675–1715. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09615-8

Biškupić, I. O., Lacković, S., & Jurina, K. (2015). Successful and Proactive e-learning Environment Fostered by Teachers' Motivation in Technology Use. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *174*, 3656–3662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.1086

Cheung, S. K. S., Kwok, L. F., Phusavat, K., & Yang, H. H. (2021). Shaping the future learning environments with smart elements: challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *18*(1), 16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00254-1

du Plooy, E., Casteleijn, D., & Franzsen, D. (2024). Personalized adaptive learning in higher education: A scoping review of key characteristics and impact on academic performance and engagement. *Heliyon*, *10*(21), e39630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e39630

Farley, I. A., & Burbules, N. C. (2022). Online education viewed through an equity lens: Promoting engagement and success for all learners. *Review of Education*, *10*(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3367

Graham, L. J., de Bruin, K., Lassig, C., & Spandagou, I. (2021). A scoping review of 20 years of research on differentiation: investigating conceptualisation, characteristics, and methods used. *Review of Education*, *9*(1), 161–198. https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3238

Hu, J., Peng, Y., Chen, X., & Yu, H. (2021). Differentiating the learning styles of college students in different disciplines in a college English blended learning setting. *PLOS ONE*, *16*(5), e0251545. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251545

Jager, L., Denessen, E., Cillessen, A., & Meijer, P. C. (2022). Capturing instructional differentiation in educational research: investigating opportunities and challenges. *Educational Research*, *64*(2), 224–241. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2022.2063751

Kusrini, I., Rintayati, P., & Salimi, M. (2025). Potential and Challenges of Differentiated Learning: Systematic Literature Review. *Social, Humanities, and Educational Studies (SHES): Conference Series*, *8*(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.20961/shes.v8i1.98841

Li, J. (2025). Optimization Path and Effectiveness Evaluation of Differentiated Instruction Strategies in Education. *Journal of Cross-Disciplinary Sustainability Innovations*, *1*(1), 1–5. https://doi.org/10.64229/vc861m27

Lindner, K.-T., & Schwab, S. (2025). Differentiation and individualisation in inclusive education: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, *29*(12), 2199–2219. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2020.1813450

Mncube, D. W., Ajani, O. A., Ngema, T., & Mkhasibe, R. G. (2023). Exploring the Problems of Limited School Resources in Rural Schools and Curriculum Management. *UMT Education Review*, *6*(2), 1–31. https://doi.org/10.32350/UER.62.01

Ojong, A. S. (2023). Unraveling the Efficacy of Differentiated Instruction in Enhancing Second Language Acquisition: A Comprehensive Review and Future Directions. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, *6*(6), 75–82. https://doi.org/10.32996/ijllt.2023.6.6.8

Pasira, I. (2022). Assessing the Effectiveness of Differentiated Instruction Strategies in Diverse Classrooms. *Journal of Education Review Provision*, *2*(1), 28–31. https://doi.org/10.55885/jerp.v2i1.151

Putri, K., & Elizar. (2025). Implementing a Differentiation Approach in Teaching to Accommodate Diverse Learning Styles of Primary School Students : A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, *8*(1), 119–128. https://doi.org/10.23887/jlls.v8i1.89659

Silmi, T. A., Lubis, A., & Maulidi, A. (2025). Model Differentiated Learning in 21st Century Education: A Systematic Review of Strategies, Results, And Challenges. *Al Ulya: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, *10*(1), 60–80. https://doi.org/10.32665/alulya.v10i1.3572

Subandiyah, H., Nasrullah, R., Ramadhan, R., Supratno, H., Raharjo, R. P., & Lukman, F. (2025). The impact of differentiated instruction on student engagement and achievement in Indonesian language learning. *Cogent Education*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2516378

Yolanda, R., Hapisoh, H., & Khairani, D. (2025). Building Effective Rules and Routines: A Guide for Pre-Service Teachers in Classroom Management. *Indonesian Journal of Integrated English Language Teaching*, *11*(1), 26. https://doi.org/10.24014/ijielt.v11i1.36597